

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

JASON PAUL DAVIS, #172082,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:07-CV-970-WKW
	)	[WO]
	)	
LYNN DAVIS,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Jason Paul Davis ["Davis"], a state inmate, filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action in which he argues that the defendant, a relative or former relative of the plaintiff, lied to his probation officer with respect to plaintiff's mental condition which resulted in plaintiff being returned to prison. Upon review of the complaint, the court deemed it necessary that Davis file an amendment to his complaint and therefore entered an order requiring that he undertake such action. *Order of November 28, 2007 - Court Doc. No. 9*. The court specifically cautioned Davis that his "fail[ure] to comply with the directives of this order" would result in entry of a recommendation "that this case be dismissed." *Id.* The time allowed Davis for filing an amendment to his complaint in compliance with the directives of the aforementioned order expired on December 12, 2007. As of the present date, Davis has failed to file the requisite amendment. The court therefore concludes that this case should be dismissed.

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be dismissed without prejudice for failure of the plaintiff to comply with the orders of this

court and his failure to properly prosecute this action.

It is further

ORDERED that on or before January 29, 2008 the parties may file objections to the Recommendation. Any objection must specifically identify the findings in the Recommendation objected to. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings in the Recommendation shall bar the party from a de novo determination by the District Court of issues covered in the report and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual findings in the report accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice. *Nettles v. Wainwright*, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). *See Stein v. Reynolds Securities, Inc.*, 667 F.2d 33 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982). *See also Bonner v. City of Prichard*, 661 F.2d 1206 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1981, en banc), adopting as binding precedent all decisions of the former Fifth Circuit issued prior to September 30, 1981.

Done this 16th day of January, 2008.

/s/Charles S. Coody  
CHARLES S. COODY  
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE